## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

CHARLES LARRY HUNNICUTT,	§
#1667980,	§
PETITIONER,	<b>§</b>
	§
V.	§ CIVIL CASE No. 3:24-CV-1231-E-BK
	§
DIRECTOR, TDCJ-CID,	§
RESPONDENT.	§

## ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

The United States Magistrate Judge made Findings, Conclusions, and a Recommendation in this case. No objections were filed. The court reviewed the proposed Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation for plain error. Finding none, the court ACCEPTS the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the successive habeas petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 is **TRANSFERRED** to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3); 28 U.S.C. § 1631.<sup>1</sup>

The Clerk of the Court is **directed** to close this case.

SO ORDERED this 12th day of July, 2024.

ADA BROWN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> An order transferring a successive application to the court of appeals is not a final order requiring a certificate of appealability. *See United States v. Fulton*, 780 F.3d 683, 688 (5th Cir. 2015); *Brewer v. Stephens*, 605 F. App'x 417 (5th Cir. 2015) (per curiam).